Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/484,538

Applicant(s)

Barry et al

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit **2622**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) I ne application to becom	MONTHS fr ne ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 25, 2	002		·	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>5-18</u>			is/are pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>5-18</u>			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	O) \square The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office act	ion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	qqA ni b	lication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
	ent(s) ptice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			t Application (PTO-152)	
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/484,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 11/25/2002.

2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 5-18 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear to the Examiner how the remainder of the portion of the re-routed print job could be printed (line 5 of claim 11) if a second print engine is not available.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/484,538

Art Unit: 2622

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yacoub (U. S. 6,452,692).

With respect to claims 5 and 12, Yacoub discloses a method for automatically processing printer errors occurring during printing of a print job (column 2, lines 40-43) in a virtual printer system (column 2, lines 29-31) wherein a virtual printer (column 2, lines 29-31) is configurable with a plurality of physical print engines (multiple printers on the network) (column 2, lines 33-38), comprising the steps of detecting occurrence of an error condition during printing of a portion of a job in one of the print engines (which reads on an error signal is returned by the selected printer [before completion of printing of the job]) (column 2, lines 37-43), and rerouting the remainder of the print job not processed toe a second print engine (column 2, lines 40-43).

With regard to claims 6 and 13, Yacoub discloses reading an error signal generated by the print engine (column 5, lines 29-33); interrupting the portion of the print job in which the error

Art Unit: 2622

was generated (sending the job to an alternate printer) (column 7, lines 30-62), and releasing the print engine from the printer system (column 7, lines 1-4).

With respect to claims 11 and 18 (as best understood by the Examiner), Yacoub discloses reconfiguring the virtual printer system if a second print engine is not available (column 7, lines 1-12); printing the re-routed remainder of the print job (column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 8), and assembling the pages of the printed and re-routed print job (column 8, lines 4-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yacoub in view of Takeda et al (Takeda) (U. S. 5,845,057).

With respect to claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, and 17, Yacoub differs from claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, and 17 in that although he discloses defining the remainder (unprinted) of the portion of the print job (column 8, lines 1-4), he does not clearly disclose attaching a separator page to the remainder of the print job.

Takeda discloses a printing system wherein when an error occurs in a printing system during printing of a print job, the remaining (residual) portion of the print job is sent to a

Application/Control Number: 09/484,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

substitute printer (column 2, lines 29-41), along with a report sheet (column 2, lines 41-65) which

includes the page where the error occurred (column 10, lines 54-61). Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified

Yacoub to attach a separator page to the remainder of the print job. It would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Yacoub by the

teaching of Takeda in order to achieve convenience to the user and improve the efficiency of print

processing as disclosed by Takeda in column 2, lines 60-65.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-18 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

Art Unit: 2622

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

MARK WALLERSON